	Page 1
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	NRRA CONSULTATION MEETING
8	
9	COVERING GROUPS ONE, TWO, FOUR AND FIVE
10	REGULATIONS
11	
12	
13	November 14, 2011
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	Job No. NJ369178
25	

		Page 2
1		
1 2	APPEARANCES:	
3	APPEARANCES.	
4	Bickerstaff, Robert	
1	Master Cage Cashier	
5	Santee Sioux Nation	
6	Sance Signi Nacion	
	Cook, Vince	
7	Executive Director	
	Little Traverse Bay Bands	
8		
9	Deeny, Teena	
	Cage Cashier Supervisor	
10	Santee Sioux Nation	
11		
	Good Crow, Phillip	
12	President Assistant	
	Oglala Sioux Tribe	
13		
14	Hento, Jon	
1 -	Controller	
15	Yankton Sioux Tribe	
16	Her Many Herges Denielle	
17	Her Many Horses, Danielle Legislative Director	
Ι/	National Indian Gaming Association	
18	National indian daming Association	
19	Miller, Antoinette	
	Commissioner	
20	Rosebud Sioux Tribe	
21		
	Provost, Irving	
22	Council Manager	
	Oglala Sioux Tribe	
23		
24	Redlightning, Mike	
	General Manager	
25	Yankton Sioux Tribe	

800-227-8440 973-410-4040

1		
		Page 3
1	Sandcrane, Alec	
	TGRA Chairman	
2	Northern Cheyenne	
3		
	Thomas, Christinia	
4	Executive Director	
	Mille Lacs Gaming Commission	
5		
6	Thomas, Thelma	
	Manager	
7	Santee Sioux Nation	
8		
	Two Lance, Wilson "Buzi"	
9	Executive Secretary	
	Oglala Sioux Tribe	
10		
11	Whipple, Janelle	
	Finance Clerk	
12	Santee Sioux Nation	
13		
- 4	Whipple, Robert	
14	Commissioner	
1 -	Santee Sioux Gaming Commission	
15	TI-16 Manian	
16	Wolf, Marion Executive Director	
17		
18	Three Affiliated Tribes	
ΤΟ	Yellow Bird Steele, John	
19	President	
19	Oglala Sioux Tribe	
20	Ogiala Sioux Ilibe	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
="		

800-227-8440 973-410-4040

Page 4

CHAIRWOMAN: Okay we'll go ahead and get started again. And I forgot (indiscernible), I just saw that we were at break time so I wanted to stop and take a break and let everybody make some phone calls, stretch, get some fresh coffee.

Right now, we don't have anything in place formally for the NIGC and the NIGC only procure goods and service from Indian country. Given that the policy under IGRA is to promote economic development and tribal self governance, we thought that we should look at something formal. We -- under IGRA, under 25 U.S.C. 2706(a)(6),(7) there is -- (6) and (7), we do have the authority to contract with tribes.

And so I want to be clear that anything that we would put in place would be a requirement on the agency when we procure goods and services from tribal businesses.

We try, when we can, based on cost, for example when we do consultations we

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

Page 5

try to have them in places 1) where tribes can -- as many tribes can reach us as possible, and we bring ourselves out to tribes; 2) we prefer to go to tribal facilities when we are able to, based on availability and also cost.

So that's an example of something that will be on, you know, a requirement upon us when we're moving forward, whether we're doing consultations and we need rooms, meeting room space, or if we need paper to -- if there are tribal businesses out there, we should be as an agency, and Indian agency, procuring those goods and services from Indian businesses.

Now this is not something that would be a regulation to impose on tribes. I want to be very clear about that. There has been some confusion in the past that what we're suggesting is that we impose this on tribes, and we would not do that because we don't have jurisdiction to do that. But that's something that each tribe can decide on their own, out of our

2.2

2.3

2.4

Page 6

bailiwick, but we just thought as an Indian agency that's something in place that would require the agency to go through a buy-Indian type of process.

We're still formulating this because we're looking at two paths we could take, whether it's going to be a regulation, whether it's going to be an internal policy. But also, which statute are we going to use, whether it's IGRA or the Buy Indian Act.

So we're still considering that. If we do put something out, we certainly will post it as we have with other discussion facts. I'm not sure if anybody has any comments on this. We've been talking about it for a while but we don't have the discussion wrapped. If anybody has any views on whether it should be a regulation, which is very formal, carries the weight of the law; and then there's internal policies.

We have a number of internal policies that operate our agency but that's significantly different than a

2.2

2.3

2.4

Page 7

regulation. So if anyone has any comments about which way to go on that (indiscernible).

There's been a number of comments -there are a number of comments that we've
received with preference towards
regulation because it's a little -- it's
more enforceable on agencies, but then
it's not very flexible. Some prefer
internal policy; it might be quicker to
put in place.

If not, we don't really have more to say on this than just that, but you know, we do, without that, given our authority to contract under the Act, do try to currently purchase goods and services from Indian country when we're able to, but we do want to formalize that in some way to move forward.

So Group 2 will be talking about enforcement and then talking about regulations put before the Commission.

Do we have any attorneys here, besides our attorney? Yeah, (indiscernible).

This will be a section that it's about a

2.2

2.3

2.4

Page 8

process before the Commission that many attorneys weigh in on, although you're a commissioner, you're probably familiar with this process. Or if you haven't had to appeal anything, you might not be, whether it's a management contract, an ordinance, and NOV. If you've not had to go through the appeal process it might not be right up front on your radar.

So 573, enforcement, our goal is voluntary compliance. One of the philosophies of this Commission is that we should be helping tribes to come into compliance and stay in compliance. We call this principle ACE, A-C-E (indiscernible) first then get them into compliance, then if those two things don't work then we need to go into enforcement.

Anything that we do with regard to enforcement actions, it should not necessarily be a surprise to tribes.

There are times where we may need to take immediate action, depending on circumstances, but in most of what we do

2.2

2.3

2.4

Page 9

the tribe knows that we're looking into matters; that we're working with their tribal gaming regulatory body or their operations, depending on what's going on; but that we should at least make every effort to bring the tribe into compliance before we issue an NOV.

So this new draft outlines a preenforcement action process. First there
would be a letter of concern or noncompliance notice provided to the tribe
or the respondent. A letter of concern
indicates an incident or a condition that
may be a violation. And a non-compliance
notice confirms an assessment of the
matter and states necessary corrective
action.

So there's the concern that there may be something happening that might be a violation and then the other action would be yes, this is a non-compliance issue, and that there needs to be certain steps taken in order to come to compliance.

We heard from tribes that they would

2.2

2.3

Page 10

prefer some process before going straight to an NOV so that they could at least make an effort to comply first. And so that's what this would do. It would track the desire tribes to have an incremental process in place before going straight to an NOV.

We understand that an NOV is a serious black mark on a tribe's record, especially when tribes are looking for partners, looking for financing, or just generally trying to keep their record clean.

Further, neither the letter of concern or non-compliance notice is an agency action. Agency action is something -- it's a statement of despair and this would -- these letters would be most likely coming from our regional offices.

Either action may provide a time period for respondent to come into voluntary compliance. If recommended corrective action is not completed, enforcement action may be taken.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

Page 11

The pre-enforcement action process does not limit or constrain the Chair's discretion to issue a NOV.

Prior to outcome is that we go
through letters of concern or notices of
non-compliance and we help tribes come
into compliance, whether that's through
training, technical assistance, and then
having the tribe self correct the problem
and stay in compliance.

However, again there are instances where the Chair may need to take immediate action, depending on circumstances, and that could be very situation specific. And so that is preserved in this proposed draft.

I know we have a number of

Commissioners here or regulators. Does

anyone have any comments on those

concepts outlining the pre-enforcement

actions? I know that a number of tribes

actually see this is in their own

ordinances and their own controls. This

may not be new to you.

It's also something similar to other

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

Page 12

federal civil regulatory bodies like the FCC, because similar processes are in place that are more informal before final agency action is taken.

You're free to make any comments, either here or in writing. We hope to get more notices of proposed rules out soon, so there will be another opportunity to make a comment on 573, and what we've proposed so far.

So the proceeding before the Commission, it would repeal Part 519 service, Part 524 appeals, Part 539 appeals, and Part 577 appeals before the Commission and would create a new Subchapter H for all proceedings before the Commission.

As you can see, the appeals is pending on what action has been taken, whether it's an ordinance approval, a management contract, or an NOV are separated currently in different parts. This would put all the parts under Subchapter H.

We're doing this because we did hear

2.2

2.3

Page 13

from tribes and their attorneys, their commissioners that they wanted to see more -- in finding what steps they should take and make it clearer and more consistent, rather than having to jump from part to part. But also, if we have a presiding officer, we need to make it clear to the presiding officer in any of these actions what part they should be following, and try to remove any confusion.

So we'll be -- the proceedings will fall into these subsections: 580 will be general rules of application; 581 motions in appellate proceedings; 582 the appeals of disapprovals of gaming ordinances, resolutions, and amendments; Part 583 appeals for approvals or disapprovals of management contracts -- as you can see they're different sections; appeals before the presiding official, notices of violation; fine assessments, temporary closures, management contract late fees and late fee assessments. And 585 is appeal to the Commission on written

2.2

2.3

2.4

Page 14 submission of notices of violation, 1 proposed civil fine assessments, orders 3 of temporary closure, the Chair's decision to void or modify a management 5 contract, and notices of late fees and 6 late fee assessments. 7 All process -- this is an all process, that's why I'm surprised there 8 aren't more lawyers here, but we've heard 10 a lot of comments so far in what we have 11 been proposing and you know, this is 12 going to assist not just tribes and what 13 steps they need to take in these 14 proceedings, but it also clarifies what 15 the agency will be doing and hopefully 16 make the process simpler. 17 So on 580, the rules of general 18 application, there will be definitions: 19 Suspension, revocation, amendment, or 20 waivers to the rules, who may appear, 21 services, and ex parte communications. 2.2 581, motions in appellate 2.3 proceedings; motions for limited 2.4 participation; ordinance appeals; motions

to intervene in appeals; or writing

Page 15

official motion in an appeal on written submission before the Commission; the filing of motions before the presiding officials to supplement the record, or for reconsideration.

These are all sort of rules of the road for those tribes that may be going through an appeal process.

582, appeals of disapprovals of ordinances, resolution, or amendments; it talks about who can appeal the disapproval of a gaming ordinance; how to appeal the disapproval; late filing or failure to file an appeal; how to go through motions; motions for limited participation; standards of review; decisions; the timing of the decisions; the content; and the effective date of the decision; and then what constitutes final agency action in that process.

583 has to do with management contract appeals; approval or disapproval. It talks about who could appeal; how to appeal; filing or failure to file an appeal; motions generally, and

2.2

2.3

2.4

Page 16

then motions for limited participation; standards of review; decisions; timing, content; and effective date, and then final agency actions. You see, we're trying to create some consistency in all of the processes.

official on NOVs; civil fine assessments; temporary closures; the Chair's decision to void or modify a contract; a management contract; (indiscernible).

Again who could appeal; how to appeal; filing and failure to file motions; motions for limited participation; and it lists burdens of proof and standards of review; and when the hearing will be held.

584 continues of course the hearing process; the decision; what's included in the decision; and final agency action with regard to appeal; appeals to the Commission on written submissions of NOVs; fine assessments; similar to the last one but this is all written submissions.

2.3

2.4

Page 17

It's my understanding that most of what we do is on written submission when we go through an appeal process, so this part in particular is important.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER:

(indiscernible)

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

CHAIRWOMAN: That's true, but a respondent, either a tribe or another party gets to choose how they want appeal a matter that the Chair's made a decision on. So they get to decide whether they would like a more expedited process that does not require a hearing and it's just before the Commission on written paper as to whether they want to have an administrative hearing before an administrative law judge to decide (indiscernible).

We're clarifying two processes here, and again we'll be looking at who can appeal, how to appeal, late filing, motions, standards of review, (indiscernible) and then final agency action with regard to an appeal.

So that all seems really sort of

Page 18

integral (ph.) but I know that our attorneys in our general counsel's office and many of the attorneys that represent tribes, and commissioners -- sometimes commissioners have to follow these without an attorney (indiscernible).

What we're hearing back is a lot of positive responses that we're modifying and clearing up and making plain these processes because the rules of the road are really important, especially when a tribe is appealing an action by the agency.

And so in our effort to be transparent, and clear, consistent, there's a lot that goes with these regulations, this particular subchapter that we're proposing, so I encourage you all to take a look at them or have your counsel, legal counsel take a look at these. If there are any questions or concerns or comments that you have on how we could improve what we've proposed, we certainly are open to hearing those.

I always tease the attorneys because

2.2

2.3

2.4

Page 19

everybody gets so excited about this part, as they're truly regulations that only an attorney could love, and you know we've heard from folks that folks wanted more details. So that's what we've tried to provide here for different type of appeal.

That takes up about half your packet, legal process. But again, our effort is to make sure that we're clear, that tribes are clear in what -- how to proceed.

That would be exciting while we're proceeding (indiscernible). If we're all okay with this I'd like to move onto Group 4, which is in the afternoon session. This may be something more relevant, especially if we have commissioners here going through licensing, monitoring, and investigations, background investigations. If that's okay with the group we could go ahead and move on to Group 4.

Many of you may be participating in

2.2

2.3

2.4

Page 20

the pilot program, which is not really a pilot program because it's been around for a while. I know almost every tribe is using it. Or a considerable number of tribes is using it. So it doesn't really qualify as a pilot. So this is our effort to formalize the pilot program that has to do with licensing, (indiscernible) to background investigations and licensing.

So we'll talk about that in 556 and 558. We'll also talk about 571 and 537; 571 being monitoring and investigations, and then 537 background investigations for persons or entities with financial interest or have management responsibilities or management contract.

Those are really long titles, I know. But we've broken up 556 and 558 into what we like to think of as before licensing and what you need to do, and after -- what happens after than has taken place and you've submitted -- whether you had to submit and what happens after that, so 556 and 558.

2.2

2.3

2.4

Page 21

So in the Notice of Inquiry that published last November, we requested comment on the priority of amending regulations to formalize the pilot program that's in place now.

The pilot program allows tribes to submit notices of results and maintain an application, applications investigations and investigative reports. So it's the work that the tribe does. And you submit that usually to the regional office.

All commenters supported formalizing this program into a regulation or a policy. So in the discussion draft, we're not to a point of Notice of Proposed Rule on this yet but in the draft we're formalizing the pilot program and Part 556 includes (indiscernible) before the gaming license is issued.

So a tribe that seeks to license a key employee or primary management official notifies NIGC of background results within sixty days of that individual starting work.

Tribes with access to prior

2.2

2.3

Page 22

investigative materials from another tribe may update the materials so that we're not reinventing the wheel. It may be that you -- and I'm sure you all are aware of this if you have somebody who has worked in a facility and has gone through this process -- you may just need to update what we already have or what you already have, or they've been through it before. (indiscernible).

558 includes all the procedures after the license is issued. So after notice, an NOR, Notice of Results, the tribe may license the key employee or the primary management official.

The tribe must notify the NIGC within thirty days of that license, and NIGC has thirty days to request additional information from the time of complete submission of the material.

More on 558, the NIGC notification within thirty days of receiving Notice of Results, if the license is issued prior to any objection that the NIGC may have, licensee has a right to notice and

2.2

2.3

2.4

Page 23

hearing. The tribe must suspend a license until after the hearing or until the hearing, and following the hearing the tribe notifies NIGC of its (indiscernible).

So within the thirty days the NIGC may object, there may be some findings that we have. Some tribes temporarily license their employees before the results. NIGC would have a certain amount of time, and there is an objection, that employee would have to stop working. You'd have to suspend that license until there's a hearing, depending on material or the information based on the employee's information. And then the tribe would have to notify the NIGC of their decision on the material or the objection that we had.

Again, it's different for different tribes. Some tribes don't have the employees start working until they know that there's no objection. And that way they don't have to go through the process of a hearing once the employee starts

2.2

2.3

2.4

Page 24

working. Other tribes go ahead and issue temporary licenses and have no issue with going through a hearing process should something come up in the employee's background. Again this is for key employees and primary management officials only.

Just so you all know, if we get to a point in finalizing this, we will make every effort to make consistent our processes across our regions. We're asking for the same information through all of the regions, but I think each region might have a different format by which they gather this information. But we plan on working with our regions to standardize our process so that every tribe in every part of the country is following the same process.

We'll be asking the same information but we want to make sure that our process is consistent all across the country.

This is helpful in case one region is getting a lot of work, that they can shift some of the work to another region,

2.2

2.3

2.4

Page 25

and we'll have the same processes in place. I only bring that up because some tribes have mentioned that the form might have looked different or the process looked a little differently than what they were accustomed when another region was helping out, or that they talked to another tribe from another region.

And so just so you know, we'll be asking for the same information for 556 and 558, we'll standardize the information based on the law that we'll be asking for, and internally we will develop standard operating procedures, basically, on how we're going to move the information through the agency. So that might be the only change that you see but it won't be a change in the content that we'll be changing, if any, the form that information takes.

Do you have any questions on 556 or 558? Yes, do you need a microphone? Yes, do you need a microphone?

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: One of the things that we have built into our

2.2

2.3

2.4

Page 26

compact with the State of Michigan is for certain offenses the tribe has the right to do a waiver for tribal citizens. In those cases they can waive certain offenses, obviously a felony can't.

There's some things that they can never be licensed for. There are some things that they can be licensed for and what do is we hold a hearing for those individuals before we notify NIGC because our Commission can state that we're not going to license these individuals based on their criminal history, or something from their background.

I guess my question would be with the situation that we have built in we've already done a hearing and the Commission has determined that they are licensable. Would we still be required to do another hearing if NIGC has an objection?

CHAIRWOMAN: Under our regulations yes. If they have been licensed already they need to suspend the license (indiscernible). But you all have the ultimate say in regard to license.

2.2

2.3

2.4

Page 27

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Well that's kind of why I was asking that question. It's primarily just for tribal citizens, not for non-tribal citizen (indiscernible). They've already gone through -- held their hearing to determine is the individual suitable, and the language in the compact is are they likely to reoffend and if the Commission makes that determination as to whether or not they feel they're likely to reoffend, when we do submit our NOR, we do advise in there that they were initially denied, but there was a hearing held and kind of state the process so that job staff -that we've done our due diligence on our own.

CHAIRWOMAN: And that may be -- that sounds like a good process because you're getting ahead of what could potentially be an objection, and that may allow John and others to be able to reconsider an objection if you've already dealt with it through process.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER 1: That's

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

Page 28

exactly what would happen. We ask if you issue a waiver for something that you put that notice in NOR because that which is objectionable to me, if you've already addressed it, a lot of times that's my only concern, that you're aware of it, that you have seen what the problem is.

So I'm sure that's exactly correct.

CHAIRWOMAN: Again, this is for key employees and primary management officials. And you know there's definitions for that. It does not necessary your maintenance people, just certain employees.

Part 571 monitoring and investigations, the Notice of Proposed Rule, this just deals with the conclusion of an investigation, some formal process that will advise the tribe that an investigation has concluded.

Authorized NIGC staff may advise party by letter that the investigation has been completed, and that that notification is not claiming that no violation of IGRA or the NIGC regulation

2.2

2.3

2.4

Page 29

or that it proved perhaps gaming ordinance occurred. It doesn't preclude necessarily the Chair's authority to act in the future.

But the reason this came up, we had heard from many tribes that there was an investigation that was taking place and had happened over a certain amount of time in the past, but they had never heard what the outcome was. And to the tribe it seemed like a hammer that was always ready to fall. They had no idea what had come of the investigation, what they were supposed to be doing, and it sort of left them in limbo.

And so we -- you know, that was something that we had heard from tribes early on, and just like on the frontend of a potential enforcement action where we go through the letter of concern and notice of non-compliance; there should be something at the tail end that says okay, we're closing out this investigation.

And it helps the tribe move on but also in what's in the proposed rule preserves

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

2.2

2.3

2.4

Page 30

the authority of the Chair to revisit it if it continues to (indiscernible).

Again we looked around at other federal regulatory -- civil regulatory agencies that regulated industry and something similar that we saw in other regulatory bodies to let the party know we've closed out this investigation.

So this again was published on October 12th, and the comment period closes on December 12th, and you should have this in your packet as well.

537, background investigation to persons or entities with a financial interest in, or having management responsibility for a management contract; long title again, has to do with management contracts.

In the discussion draft that should be in your packet under 537, right after the section we just went over, the discussion draft states that the Chairman reduce the scope of the background investigation and information to be furnished for any tribe, the tribal-owned

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

Page 31

entity, national bank, or institutional investor that is federally regulated or is required to undergo a background investigation by a licensor, by a state or tribe, pursuant to a tribal state compact.

In effort to not reinvent the wheels, because they are already going through another process that is federally regulated, or through a state compact, this is something that the tribes brought to our attention as well. They're doing it duplicative in some instances, and so we wanted to address it here.

It also clarifies there's really just two changes in this particular draft. One is in the frontend, first part of it, including management for both Class II and Class III facilities, hybrid facilities. And the second one is this one here towards the end of the draft.

We don't see as many management contracts as we probably did in the early days. But we do still see them.

2.2

Page 32 1 UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: (indiscernible) 3 CHAIRWOMAN: Bear with me, I lost 4 connectivity. 5 We also have in the packet a Notice 6 of No Action. Initially when we started 7 the Notice of Inquiry last year, we talked about collateral agreements, 8 9 should we consider, how would we 10 consider, what is a collateral agreement 11 for management contracts. We talked 12 about it in the Notice of Inquiry. We 13 talked about it over the past six months during these consultations. 14 We also discussed the definition of 15 16 net revenues, and in response to what 17 we've heard from tribes during this past 18 year, primarily these last six months, 19 we've decided to take no action on the

we've heard from tribes during this past year, primarily these last six months, we've decided to take no action on the discussion items on collateral agreements, or the definition of net revenues. We think the definition of net revenues is (indiscernible) and it's very specific, so we don't want to modify that because we don't think we can.

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

Page 33

So there is a Notice of Proposed
Rule in here that states that we're not
going to take action on these two items.
These are now off of our slate of things
to do in terms of reviewing regulations.

And again, that was published on October 12th with a comment period on December 12th, so if you don't believe that we should not take action on those, or if you have comments about the no action process, we certainly can provide comment on the notice of no action.

We have one section left and it's actually -- it's something we can cover before lunch. Would the group like to talk about self regulation? We do have a discussion draft out right now that changes the self-regulation regulation.

I'm not stuttering, it's actually the self-regulation regulation for Class II, and we could talk about sole-proprietary interest before the lunch break if a group of you so desire. Okay.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER 2: We've got little bingo. It's not a big bingo

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

Page 34 like you see at one of these larger 1 casinos. We're just community bingos. One of our attorneys says that we -- the 3 attorney's opinion that bingo callers 4 5 have to be licensed and to keep the guys 6 off the street that come in. It's just 7 charitable bingos. We disagree with our 8 attorney. 9 CHAIRWOMAN: Is this your own 10 facility? 11 UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER 2: No. 12 CHAIRWOMAN: Okay -- these are --13 UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER 2: It's a 14 community facility out across the 15 reservation. CHAIRWOMAN: Off the reservation? 16 17 UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER 2: No, on 18 the reservation, but we've got a large 19 reservation, they have little community 20 bingos. And it's more of a hassle to try 21 even to understand where does the bingo 2.2 happen. Then trying to regulate the 2.3 bingo caller -- some are prize bingos and 2.4 some are bingos for money. More than one 2.5 of them are charitable fundraiser bingos

	Page 35
1	and we don't think that we need to do
2	that. We say why aren't DAVs or Knights
3	of Columbus have to license their bingo
4	callers?
5	CHAIRWOMAN: Even though they're
6	Class II (indiscernible).
7	UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER 2: Yes,
8	but these are so small, so minimal.
9	CHAIRWOMAN: Sounds to me like your
10	attorney is trying to figure out whether
11	the bingo caller qualifies as a key
12	employee under our regulations.
13	UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER 2: It's
14	not even employees, they're sort of
15	some of them are volunteers.
16	CHAIRWOMAN: Well then we can
17	certainly talk about this with you
18	specifically offline so we could get some
19	more detail. It sounds very specific to
20	your situation.
21	UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER 2: Right,
22	my gaming commissioner (indiscernible),
23	and we can follow up on that.
24	CHAIRWOMAN: Okay, we certainly can
25	chat with about that and get more

Page 36

information on what the situation is and what would or wouldn't be required by us.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER 2: We think this is going a little too far regulating on the little bingos.

CHAIRWOMAN: Okay.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER 3: Just a couple of words to clarify what he's talking about. I know under -- being a bingo caller is one of the key employees, but this is talking about charitable bingos. We have some bingos out there, like St. Agnes Bingo (indiscernible) they'd have maybe once a month, twice a month private bingos and stuff. And their attorneys say no, we have to start regulating them and they have to start being licensed, background, and then seventy percent has to come through your tribe. It's a bit extreme.

I'm telling these people who are calling me (ph.) Fourth of July, make about seven thousand a year to help with the pow-wow. (indiscernible) figure percent to the tribe, following the

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

Page 37

regulation, it's not that much money to operate on. So it's a question about charitable bingo.

CHAIRWOMAN: We can get you during the break and if it's not a discussion we're able to finish today or if we want more information we can contact you directly and get more information about what's happening out there.

So if everybody's up for talking about self regulation, we can do that. There is a draft -- I think there's two versions of the same draft in here in two different forms. And they're the last section that has the red -- the red ink before the last Federal Register notice that's in here.

You'll see two copies. One copy has the deletions in the margins and the second copy has the deletions as strike-throughs. Whichever is easier to read for you, there are two versions of the same draft discussion here.

So as part of the Notice of Inquiry that we did last year, we asked whether

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

Page 38

the Commission should review the Class II self regulation certification process.

The comments that we received, some of the comments we received said it was an administrative -- that the administrative burden of becoming certified as a Class II self-regulatory body outweighed the benefits that were obtained, the decrease of the fees.

The submission requirements we duplicative and they were burdensome.

The (indiscernible) and annual reporting requirement undermine the purpose of the certification. There was a lot of information that was being asked, not just of the regulatory body but also the operations.

We also though heard from some tribes. We have two tribes -- there's technically three tribes that are self-regulatory -- self-regulation tribes, one by statute, which is Choctaw. And the other two are Menominee (ph.) and Grand Lot (ph.) so we have three tribes that have a certification for self req.

2.2

2.3

2.4

Page 39

Now one of these tribes suggests that we maintain high standards for when we review the self regulation, and self regulation even though the benefits don't really outweigh the process -- or the burden in the process, we don't (indiscernible) self-regulated is a hallmark of tribal sovereignty.

In the discussion (indiscernible) we attempted to shift the focus from the gaming operation to the Tribal Gaming Regulatory Authority because that's who's getting the certification. The tribe is getting the certification stating their regulatory body is in a position to regulate itself by meeting certain criteria that a regulatory body should meet.

We attempt to reduce the submission of duplicative information and make this certification accessible to all tribes.

I want to clarify this is for Class II only. It's not for Class III, that would be something that our -- under the statute that we could achieve, but it is

2.2

2.3

2.4

Page 40

only for Class II. And also Class II are hybrid facilities.

Actually Grand Ron (ph.) is a hybrid facility and they still self regulate for Class II their other facilities that had standalone Class II operations that are seeking to become self regulated for that standalone Class II facility.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: That was going to be my question. We are primarily Class III, possibly (indiscernible) Class II, we're already primarily regulating ourselves as it is in that we have to apply for a certificate of self regulation for the Class II machines.

CHAIRWOMAN: Yeah, if you wanted to do Class II, you would still do Class II certification, self-reg certification, you would have to go through this process for Class II, and just for your Class II only.

I think that it's possible. I think Grand Ron, they've got a hybrid facility so I'm not sure what their exercise is to

2.2

2.3

Page 41 delineate between their Class II and 1 Class III revenues in games. It's 3 possible. UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: 4 Okay. 5 PRESIDENT STEELE: (indiscernible) 6 what you referred to as a hybrid, that's 7 a Class II (indiscernible). Like I say, we may -- we don't know if we're going to 8 9 go Class II in Martin (ph.), our dealings 10 with the governor, we'll be putting Class 11 III in there. But although we'll have 12 two sites it'll just be one operation. 13 The general manager will operate our main site which is very, very, very small in 14 15 your eyes. But we may have a smaller 16 one, the same umbrella, the same 17 management, the same --18 CHAIRWOMAN: I think that's 19 something that we've considered in the 20 draft, how we're looking more I think at 21 the Class II portion rather than the 2.2 number of facilities. Just the Class II, 2.3 regardless of the --2.4 PRESIDENT STEELE: We may get Class 2.5 III machines from the governor. He's a

Page 42

new governor and we're expecting a different relationship with the state, and so it may be Class III also.

CHAIRWOMAN: Again, certification won't cover Class III but it would cover Class II.

So in going through the draft, the submission requirements under 518.3, we're requesting history of the issuing operations and it addresses that. TGRA's organizational chart, employment criteria for TGRA, these are things that you need to submit to us, not that we're telling you what they should be, but you need to submit them to us. TGRA funding description, how is the TGRA funded; list the current TGRA regulators; description of the gaming operation accounting system; list of the internal controls; description of the record-keeping system for investigations, (indiscernible) actions, and prosecution. Again, just a description of those systems; a copy of the facility license the tribe has issued to the facility; any additional tribal

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

Page 43

gaming regulations outside of the internal controls.

There's criteria that must be met.

The tribe -- and this is straight from the Act if I'm not mistaken -- the tribe maintains the effective and honest accounting of revenues; has a reputation for safe, fair, and honest operations; fiscally and economically sound basis; and operation generally free of criminal and dishonest activity.

So we will want to look at the funding source of the gaming regulatory body over time, so that we can see that it is maintained and can be kept substantially, and looking at sort of the history of the operation and the regulatory body and how it's been conducting itself. And that Class II has been conducted in compliance with federal or tribal laws and regulations.

In terms of the adequate systems, we're talking about -- we're talking about accounting of revenues; investigation; licensing and monitoring

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

Page 44

of gaming employees; investigation enforcement and prosecution of violations.

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

And the tribe -- further in that section -- they illustrate that they've met the criteria by addressing factors like having minimal internal controls as stringent and as you see that they're adequate systems for accounting of gaming revenues; adequate dispute resolution and process for gaming operation employees and customers; monitors in compliance with (indiscernible); and regulations including (indiscernible) the gaming regulatory body has done this; monitor's effectiveness of the revenue accounting system.

It's not just having the system, but how the body, the regulatory body is monitoring those systems audits Class II gaming activities the gaming regulatory body does and that the gaming regulatory body reviews accounting information from the operation.

So again, the emphasis here for this

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

800-227-8440 973-410-4040

Page 45

section is on the gaming regulatory body, the TGRA.

Further, that the tribal gaming regulatory body maintains access to all records to gaming operation in Class II gaming activity; adequate investigating licensing and monitoring of gaming employees; and establishes standards for vendors. Something we're looking for from the gaming regulatory body itself.

That the gaming regulatory body establishes and proposes Class II game rules; maintains systems for investigations; takes appropriate enforcement action and takes testimony and conducts hearings.

Again, as I mentioned, we want to make sure that the tribe adequately, permanently funds the tribal regulatory body. It's not something that can come and go, that it must be maintained somehow financially.

Again, going back to what the tribe must demonstrate, not specifically the gaming regulatory body, that the

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

Page 46

operation is financially stable and we certainly want to hear your comments on what does that mean, what does financially stable mean; has adopted a system for adequate prosecution of gaming violations and that may be through their gaming ordinance or to their regulatory body; demonstrates that operations conducted in a manner that protects the environment, public, healthy, and safety. Again, that may be something that's evident in your license -- in your facility licensing.

So that's the criteria, submission requirements, and how a tribe might be able to achieve -- illustrate that they can comply.

The next section talks about how we would review the petition. The NIGC has an office of self regulation and it would make initial determination in 120 days. The office of self regulation would be material in gathering information from the tribe, and right now we have one commissioner that is assigned to the

2.2

2.3

2.4

Page 47

office of self regulation, and right now that is our Vice-Chairwoman Steffani Cochran.

Office of self regulation would report its findings and the full Commission actually is the body that would make the determination on whether it would be issued for self regulation or notify the tribe that it doesn't meet self regulation.

If the tribe doesn't meet the requirements or the criteria it may respond to the report and include additional information to try to meet criteria. It can request a hearing. The office of self regulation would issue a decision on that petition and in a decision to deny its appeal to the full Commission.

So that's the process from NIGC to decide, once we start getting information for a petition to become self regulated or get a self-regulation certificate.

So not just what is required to be submitted and how a tribe might meet that

2.2

Page 48

criteria and what the functions of NIGC would be once we receive the information, there's also annual reporting requirements that need to be met every year by a self-regulated tribe.

Again, we have three tribes, two of which are reporting to us annually and what we would look for in this draft is an independent auditor, which everyone does already. And complete resume for all PMOs, primary management officials and key employees hired and licensed by the tribe after receiving certificate of self regulation.

That seems sort of cumbersome, but it's straight out of the Act. Right now the current regulation does not specify PMOs or key employees. It only says all employees. So the tribes, the two tribes that are doing their annual report have quite a bit to do on this section, which they report every year. And so we interpret -- that could be overly burdensome when you're getting asked the resume of your maintenance worker or your

2.2

2.3

2.4

Page 49

line cook. That is an employee of the casino but it's not necessarily maybe who we're looking for.

So we've modified this to be all PMOs and key employees, information that we should've had anyway. So that is a change from the current regulations.

And the tribe has continuing duty to report to the Commission changes in its circumstances that are material to the approval of the self-regulation certificate. So anything that has changed, maybe their organizational structure, the funding sources, or systems controls have changed, been updated and that the tribe has to do to inform the Commission that something in the criteria has changed (indiscernible).

So also from the Act, it specifically says that any tribe that obtains a self-regulation certificate, this is automatically followed by the NIGC's limited powers for that self-regulated tribe.

So what would be limited for the

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

Page 50

NIGC are the following: monitoring Class II gaming, that would then be the responsibility of the tribe who is self regulated; conduct examination where solely Class II activities are conducted, so that would be taken on by the tribal gaming regulatory body that -- the tribe that is self regulated; (indiscernible) background investigations, we would not do that, the tribe would do that; access and inspection of records in respect to Class II gross gaming revenues. Again this is straight from the Act.

The current regulation actually doesn't state this. The current regulation says the NIGC will not limit this regulation of these things. So we are abiding by the Act here because our belief is that the purpose of self regulation is for the tribe to step in where the NIGC wouldn't and act per the language of the Act clarifies this. So those would be the powers of the NIGC that would be limited and transferred over to the tribe.

2.2

2.3

2.4

Page 51

More on this section, Commission retains all the powers over Class II gaming activities of the tribe and then Commission retains the power to investigate and bring for actions for violations to IGRA and NIGC regulations or the tribal gaming ordinance. Those would be powers that the NIGC would retain.

We have a draft out currently; again you have two versions depending on which way you prefer to view this. And even though the comment period has closed, we will continue to hear from tribes and how -- about questions on this and comments, any suggestions, how we can change or improve the draft that we put forward.

I'm coming right to you, President Steele.

PRESIDENT STEELE: Yes, I'd

mentioned that we were possibly moving

back to Class II, and our Class II gaming

would be very, very small. And we would

probably use the same Commission

(indiscernible) this regulatory body And

2.2

2.3

2.4

Page 52

seeing as our little bitty Class III, to incorporate Class II in there it's going to have to do a whole new separate -- have two gaming commissions and regulating self -- I mean the self-regulating of Class II in addition to Class III. That would be very, not only burdensome, but I think it would be more cost effective if we could do it together in one body.

CHAIRWOMAN: That's certainly the prerogative of the tribe to determine how it wants to structure its gaming ordinances, its gaming laws, and how they want to structure their Commission, as long as the requirement under IGRA are met. Are there tribes that have only one Commission? I've not heard of that so that would be a hornet's nest to me -- personally -- waiting to happen.

But there's -- that's certainly the prerogative of the tribe to decide how they want to structure their Commission, as long as your ordinance specifies, according to -- you know, is compliant

2.2

2.3

2.4

Page 53

with the Act and our regulations,

(indiscernible) gaming ordinance so that

you can structure your Commission how you

see best for your tribe.

The last thing that we can talk about before we go to lunch today is sole proprietary interests. There's (indiscernible) to actions.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER 1: These are all through legal opinions issued by the general counsel.

CHAIRWOMAN: Okay. So the Notice of Inquiry asked whether the Commission should consider a regulation that defines sole proprietary interest and provide a process by which the tribe may request review of a sole proprietary interest matter.

We received some comments that -both sides of the fence here -- that the
Commission should promulgate the
regulations that would provide review
only at the tribe's request. The
percentages contained in IGRA define what
the percentages -- what percentage might

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

Page 54

violate the Act's sole proprietary interest, it was between thirty and forty percent in some instances.

But we also received some other comments that if sole proprietary interest is defined than so should primary beneficiary because (indiscernible) the primary beneficiary of its game revenues.

A clear definition of sole proprietary interest might provide stability and access of funding so what happens now is we (indiscernible) contracts or any collateral agreements that go with those. And thus we have a number of legal opinions, not necessarily defining agency action about the tribe's interest in contracts. It's not clear to tribes and some tribes have said, hey, if you define this, it'll be more certain. It'll be more certain for the tribe, it'll be more certain for investors.

But then on the other hand, we heard some comments that said it might limit access to capital, it might chill

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

Page 55

financing. Determination of sole proprietary interest should belong to the courts.

So far what's happened is we have probably ninety-one opinions, it was a number of opinions. Many of those have ramped up over the past two years because of some court rulings, and very individually based on a tribe, its circumstances, and what the agreements say, plus other factors that are not necessarily consistent from one tribe to another.

So we're at a point where we're just talking about this. We don't have a draft ready. I know that it continues to be an issue. We've had some tribes come to us and say hey, we think there might be a sole proprietary interest violation here and we (indiscernible) the matter and (indiscernible) NOVs recently that indicate that the tribe's -- there's been some violation of what we interpret the sole proprietary interest to be for that tribe.

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Page 56

We've had other tribes who want us to stay out of it. And we would like to hear from tribes on whether this would be something that is even manageable because it is so specific to any particular set of circumstances, details that may not be consistent. What we've heard is it might be too much to try to think of everything that could affect a tribe's position in an agreement that would be really difficult to name everything, especially as some of these management (indiscernible) and financing deals become more and more complex.

And so if we couldn't name everything specifically, could we possibly name things narrowly, or generally, on what we look for so that there's some guidelines? Because we do have tribes and investors and others involved with tribes looking to us for some guidance.

And it's -- right now it's case by case where we have a tribe come to us saying can you look at this for us and

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

Page 57

make sure that we're not violating sole proprietary interest. Or they're coming in after the fact, which is the worst of the two scenarios, that if there had been some general guideposts that maybe that might have been averted.

So we would like to hear tribes'
take on this so there is a clear
difference between some tribes who want
some guidance, and some tribes who don't
want guidance, and want to make
statements of individual, case-by-case
review.

MS. THOMAS: Thelma Thomas, Santee Sioux Nation, could you please give us a couple of examples of what you were just talking about in terms of management contracts but also financing contracts?

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER 1:

Sure. If you go to our website and you can look -- there's a tab called enforcement actions. And so there are two examples on our website that talk about sole proprietary interest.

Congress actually in the statute

2.2

2.3

Page 58

says that tribes need to have sole proprietary interest in their gaming activity and responsibility over that gaming activity. So the question is what does that mean and should the NIGC interpret that in a regulation, and recently an NOV was issued against a tribe for a sole proprietary interest violation because an entity, a city actually had pull over certain aspects of the tribe's regulatory body, over changes to gaming ordinance and tribe -- the city got a certain percentage, a large percentage of the revenue for a substantial term.

And so those are the elements that we have looked at to try to determine whether someone other than the tribe had (indiscernible) proprietary interest in the tribe's gaming activity

MS. THOMAS: That helps a little bit but what about the new facility that's going to be constructed when you have a financier and in those agreements terms sole proprietary interest? Have you run

2.2

2.3

2.4

Page 59 1 across problems in that area at all and maybe there was a (indiscernible)? UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER 1: 3 Wе have a bulletin, I think it's 93-3 that 4 5 talks about contracts and trying to figure out whether a contract is a 6 7 management contract or whether it has sole proprietary interest. 8 And one of the things that the rules 9 10 have recommended that you send the contract to the Office of General Counsel 11 12 at the NIGC and let them take a look at 13 it, to give you our thoughts, a legal 14 opinion about whether there are issues. 15 Under the statute, Congress set 16 forth the amount of revenue that it 17 thought appropriate for management 18 contractor but it didn't say that for a 19 developer. And so the elements that I 20 talked about previously in my prior 21 example are things that we look at when 2.2 we look at those types of financing 2.3 developmental contracts. 2.4 MR. THOMAS: Thank you. 2.5 CHAIRWOMAN: So we looked before and

Page 60

after but it's all contingent on the tribe sending us the material. The after usually is a result of not seeing, we didn't see it beforehand. We didn't see the contract or the terms beforehand or there were some amendments that we didn't know about. But the before is before you enter into the agreements.

We encourage tribes to do that anyway, so that we don't get too "oops" later, or that your tribe doesn't. And so it can be a challenge to define because some of these agreements have become very complex and creative to say the least.

So we would be happy to hear any more comments on their experiences with us, with their contracts, what your position in your tribe would be on whether we should move forward with the regulation that would generally or more specifically define what this means.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER 3: We were one of the first tribes that went through a bond renegotiation due to the

2.2

Page 61

debt of building a new property. And that was one of the things that the tribe did was submit the bond agreements to the NIGC for review, make sure that they didn't qualify as management agreement. We think that ability to be able to do that is an excellent resource. That was one reason we submitted it.

CHAIRWOMAN: In terms of your financing, I want to make clear and I say this any time I have the opportunity to speak to tribes, whether it's in a consultation or if it's a panel or a speech. Most times it's the bank that wants it submitted so that there is some opinion from the NIGC's General Counsel's office that clarifies whether or not that financial instrument has management provisions in it. That's what a recent court case has been all about and it's still in the appeal process.

So we are encouraging tribes that if their bank is requiring -- most of the banks now want this, or their lending institutions, or whatever bank it is that

2.2

2.3

2.4

Page 62

the tribe is seeking to finance their endeavors. Most of them know this now and so they are submitting their financial agreements to us in advance to give a legal opinion from our general counsel's office with regard to whether there are management provisions in that instrument or not, to avoid future problems like we're seeing in the courts now.

So again I want to emphasize that's another requirement for us, regulation for us. It is a desire generally from a bank to make sure that their deal goes through. It's clean of management provisions and they don't see something - they don't experience something that has already been experienced already.

So we are cooperating and cooperating as quickly as we can when you submit your financial documents to us.

We just ask that you do so in as much time in advance as you can. We ask for four to six weeks. We know that terms can change very quickly, percentages, and

2.2

2.3

2.4

Page 63

because we have so many of these coming in and there's only so many of us, we ask for submissions even if you haven't come to an agreement.

We've had tribes who are submitting portions of their documents to us as they make agreements with the bank and (indiscernible) that go so that when the whole lot then comes to us we can review a little quicker, providing that the terms weren't changed the last time that we saw it.

But please do submit those to us in advance, four to six weeks if you can, because the turnaround time to go through the mounds, especially the bond, it takes quite a bit of time to go through -- especially when I said, instruments have become more and more complex.

So always happy to help in those instances so we (indiscernible) your problem. I'm glad to hear that it worked out for you. We encourage everybody else to utilize our services.

We've covered the whole day today

2.2

2.3

Page 64

and it's only lunch, so I did want to let President Steele, one more time.

PRESIDENT STEELE: Yeah, I

(indiscernible), the last time you were
here I opposed regulating Class II, but
some areas want regulations. Your
innovative approach to granting of selfregulatory authority I think is really
good. I can see how tribes are wanting
to self regulate.

And so folks seem to get a lot of confidence you and being innovative and in doing this because the size of our operations, the amount of technology and regulations that each tribe has, I think we should take that into consideration, thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN: Thank you President

Steele for those really kind words. My
people would say my hand's up to you,
thank you.

I fortunately, and many of us have a background in working for either our tribes or tribal operations, and come from our reservations. One size does not

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

Page 65

always fit all and for self regulation it's one of the first things we heard, even prior to coming into office. It was the topic of conversation for a number of years prior to my arrival and the other commissioners' arrival. That just out of the exercise of sovereignty many tribes wanted to become self regulated, just because it was the muscle they had to demonstrate their sovereignty.

And we just are looking at this process for self regulation to make it clearer and clearer, more transparent, and not quite so burdensome, unnecessarily burdensome. So thank you.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER 4: I just had a quick question or comment. I look at the NIGC as an excellent resource and John will tell you I'm on the phone with his staff, him and his staff on a fairly regular basis.

We just had there some of the staff over to our tribe to do some training for new tribe council members. And for us it's a great opportunity to get everybody

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

Page 66

in the same room and hold discussions and put it on the table.

One of the comments that was made during this training was that the NIGC is possibly looking at pulling back from the Class III mix completely, and taking a step back from dealing with Class III.

And we are a Class III operation.

One of the comments, and I know we're not really discussing Class III here but the Class III mix; but one of the questions from one of our tribal council members during this meeting is if the NIGC is pulling back from the Class III mix, does that means that we will also be seeing a reduction in our fees in regard to Class III?

I feel obligated to pass that question on from our tribal council members but also want to state that we do see NIGC as an excellent resource. We just went through our tribal mix again and took a look at all the proposed changes from 2010 that were posted on the website. And many of the changes there

Page 67

are things that we're already doing, we did incorporate many of those into our (indiscernible).

And having that ability to see something that's updated on a fairly regular basis as something that will benefit us, is an excellent resource for us. So I just wanted to make sure I put that out there; that is something that we do still use, NIGC as a resource.

(indiscernible)

I was told a long time ago was don't be afraid to use your resources. If you don't know the answer somebody else may. Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN: Thank you very much. I appreciate that attitude because in the long run, there's three regulatory bodies that are overseeing (indiscernible) and the tribes are the ones who are on the ground twenty-four hours a day, seven days a week. But that doesn't mean that there aren't other resources out there, NIGC being one of them, that we should be able to utilize in a way that's more

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

Page 68

partnership providing opportunities to train, give technical assistance, provide guidance, and other ways. And I'm glad to hear that you see us as a resource.

We have not yet determined what we're going to do with Class III because tribes are on different -- how Class III works for tribes in terms of a minimum internal control standards is different throughout the country, so that Colorado River Indian Tribe decision basically said the NIGC cannot promulgate or enforce minimal internal control standards.

So it presents us with a bit of a problem. There are regulations on the book currently, published in 2004, a few years ago. They're on the books. The court says you can't promulgate them and you can't enforce them, however; there are tribes who have the NIGC's Class III minimal control and control standards in their compacts. They cite NIGC, and they're controls that are in place.

There are tribes that have in their

2.2

2.3

2.4

Page 69

game ordinance, although it's not in their compact, have given over authority of Class III enforcement to the NIGC and abide by the NIGC's Class III minimal control standards that are currently on the books.

On the other hand, there's a number of tribes that have negotiated Class III minimal control standards through the state. They already have it all sorted out and they don't need NIGC minimal control standards. They actually have more stringent, in some cases they have more stringent controls, Class III controls in their compacts, or they have them in their ordinances.

So tribes are on different sides of this and we have to weigh out how we will proceed because again what we want is to make sure that tribes have controls in place that safeguards their operations.

And we don't want to upset apple carts because it seems that most of those mechanisms are probably working for the tribes, whatever that framework is for

2.2

2.3

2.4

Page 70

them.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Now in terms of fees, we do do some regulation of Class III in some circumstances. I don't know if we could address that question now, it depends on what route we take to move forward in the future, again the number of different positions that exist in Indian country.

But we do know for sure that we don't want to upset structures that are already working for tribes, whatever those might be, and coming up with some creative or innovative solution so that tribes can continue to have (indiscernible) in place that safeguard their operations.

So all questions that are yet to be answered, and we are going to be very deliberative and thoughtful about this as we consider what our options are moving forward.

Thank you. I think that's it for the end. I'm not sure -- President

Steele if -- do you want to add anything before we break for lunch, and if anyone

Page 71

is not going to come back after lunch, we'll be here after lunch in case anyone new shows up. Be we understand if everyone -- President Steele, if you have any closing remarks that you wanted to make, on behalf of your nation.

PRESIDENT STEELE: I'm just satisfied, especially with the self regulatory portion of it. I just wanted to (indiscernible) that were different and to paint the whole United States with an Indian brush and say this applies to all of you.

I see you got that in hand. You're coming across different than the last meeting of the Commission and telling us what it was going to do. I thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN: Well thank you and I want to thank everybody for attending today and in case you don't come back after lunch, we'll be here in case somebody new shows up and more than happy to go through this all over again if anyone else new comes in or if you have any questions that you weren't able to

2.2

2.3

2.4

	Page 72
1	ask, or were shy. We'll come back after
2	lunch. We'll be here at 1:30.
3	Otherwise, if you all must travel a
4	distance, I wish you safe travels on your
5	journey home. So thank you for
6	attending.
7	(Break for lunch at 1:32 p.m.)
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

[1 - asking] Page 1

		1	
1	6	add 70:24	amount 23:11 29:8
1 5:1 27:25 53:9	6 4:16,17	addition 52:6	59:16 64:14
57:19 59:3	7	additional 22:19	annual 38:12 48:3
120 46:21		42:25 47:14	48:20
12th 30:10,11 33:7,8	7 4:16,17	address 31:14 70:5	annually 48:7
14 1:13	8	addressed 28:5	answer 67:14
1:30 72:2	8 73:15	addresses 42:10	answered 70:18
1:32 72:7	9	addressing 44:6	antoinette 2:19
2	93-3 59:4	adequate 43:22 44:9	anybody 6:16,19
2 5:4 7:20 33:24		44:10 45:6 46:5	anyway 49:6 60:10
34:11,13,17 35:7,13	a	adequately 45:18	appeal 8:5,8 13:25
35:21 36:3	abide 69:4	administrative	15:1,8,11,13,14,24
2004 68:17	abiding 50:18	17:16,17 38:5,5	15:24,25 16:12,12
2010 66:24	ability 61:6 67:4	adopted 46:4	16:21 17:3,9,21,21
	73:6	advance 62:4,23	17:24 19:7 47:18
2011 1:13 73:15	able 5:5 7:17 27:22	63:14	61:21
25 4:16	37:6 46:16 61:6	advise 27:12 28:19	appealing 18:12
2706 4:16	67:25 71:25	28:21	appeals 12:13,14,14
3	access 21:25 45:4	affect 56:9	12:18 13:15,18,20
3 36:7 60:23	50:10 54:12,25	affiliated 3:17	14:24,25 15:9,22
4	accessible 39:21	afraid 67:13	16:7,21
4 19:16,24 65:16	accounting 42:18	afternoon 19:16	appear 14:20
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	43:7,24 44:9,16,23	agencies 7:8 30:5	appellate 13:15
5	accustomed 25:6	agency 4:21 5:14,14	14:22
518.3 42:8	ace 8:15	6:2,3,24 10:16,16	apple 69:22
519 12:12	achieve 39:25 46:16	12:4 14:15 15:20	application 13:14
524 12:13	act 6:11 7:15 29:3	16:4,20 17:23 18:13	14:18 21:8
537 20:12,14 30:13	43:5 48:16 49:19	25:16 54:17	applications 21:8
30:20	50:13,18,21,22 53:1	agnes 36:13	applies 71:12
539 12:13	act's 54:1	ago 67:12 68:18	apply 40:14
556 20:11,19,25	action 8:24 9:9,17	agreement 32:10	appreciate 67:17
21:18 25:10,21	9:20 10:16,16,21,24	56:10 61:5 63:4	approach 64:7
558 20:12,19,25	10:25 11:1,13 12:4	agreements 32:8,21	appropriate 45:14
22:11,21 25:11,22	12:19 15:20 16:20	54:14 55:10 58:24	59:17
571 20:12,13 28:15	17:24 18:12 29:19	60:8,13 61:3 62:4	approval 12:20
573 8:10 12:9	32:6,19 33:3,9,11	63:7	15:22 49:11
577 12:14	33:12 45:15 54:17	ahead 4:1 19:23	approvals 13:18
580 13:13 14:17	73:11	24:1 27:20	area 59:1
581 13:14 14:22	actions 8:21 11:21	alec 3:1	areas 64:6
582 13:15 15:9	13:9 16:4 42:22	allow 27:21	arrival 65:5,6
583 13:17 15:21	51:5 53:8 57:22	allows 21:6	asked 37:25 38:15
584 16:7,18	activities 44:21 50:5	amending 21:3	48:24 53:13
585 13:24	51:3	amendment 14:19	asking 24:12,20
	activity 43:11 45:6	amendments 13:17	25:10,13 27:2
	58:3,4,20	15:10 60:6	
	, , -	I	l .

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

aspects 58:10	bank 31:1 61:14,23	book 68:17	certainly 6:13 18:24
assessment 9:15	61:25 62:14 63:7	books 68:18 69:6	33:11 35:17,24 46:2
assessments 13:22	banks 61:24	break 4:4,5 33:22	52:11,21
13:24 14:2,6 16:8	based 4:24 5:5	37:5 70:25 72:7	certificate 40:15
16:23	23:16 25:12 26:12	bring 5:3 9:6 25:2	47:23 48:13 49:12
assigned 46:25	55:9	51:5	49:21
assist 14:12	basically 25:15	broken 20:19	certification 38:2,14
assistance 11:8 68:2	68:11	brought 31:11	38:25 39:13,14,21
assistant 2:12	basis 43:9 65:21	brush 71:12	40:19,19 42:4
association 2:17	67:6	building 61:1	certified 38:6
attempt 39:19	bay 2:7	built 25:25 26:16	certify 73:4,10
attempted 39:10	bear 32:3	bulletin 59:4	chair 11:12 30:1
attending 71:19	becoming 38:6	burden 38:6 39:6	chair's 11:2 14:3
72:6	behalf 71:6	burdens 16:15	16:9 17:10 29:3
attention 31:12	belief 50:19	burdensome 38:11	chairman 3:1 30:22
attitude 67:17	believe 33:8	48:24 52:8 65:14,15	chairman 5:1 50:22
attorney 7:24 18:6	belong 55:2	businesses 4:23 5:13	17:7 26:21 27:18
19:3 34:8 35:10	beneficiary 54:7,8	5:16	28:9 32:3 34:9,12
	benefit 67:7	buy 6:4,11	34:16 35:5,9,16,24
attorney's 34:4 attorneys 7:23 8:2	benefits 38:8 39:4	buzi 3:8	36:6 37:4 40:17
13:1 18:2,3,25 34:3	bentzur 73:4,18		41:18 42:4 47:2
36:16	best 53:4 73:6	c	52:11 53:12 59:25
auditor 48:9		c 2:2 8:15 73:2,2	
audits 44:20	bickerstaff 2:4 big 33:25	cage 2:4,9	61:9 64:18 67:16 71:18
authority 4:18 7:14	bingo 33:25,25 34:4	call 8:15	challenge 60:12
29:3 30:1 39:12	34:21,23 35:3,11	called 57:21	change 25:17,18
64:8 69:2	36:10,13 37:3	caller 34:23 35:11	49:7 51:16 62:25
authorized 28:21	bingos 34:2,7,20,23	36:10	changed 49:13,15
authorized 28.21 automatically 49:22	34:24,25 36:5,12,12	callers 34:4 35:4	49:18 63:11
availability 5:6	36:15	calling 36:22	changes 31:16 33:18
availability 5.0 averted 57:6	bird 3:18	calls 4:6	49:9 58:11 66:24,25
avoid 62:8	bit 36:20 48:21	capital 54:25	changing 25:19
aware 22:5 28:6	58:21 63:17 68:15	carries 6:21	charitable 34:7,25
	bitty 52:1	carts 69:22	36:11 37:3
b	black 10:9	case 24:23 56:23,24	chart 42:11
back 18:7 45:23	bodies 12:1 30:7	57:12,12 61:20 71:2	chat 35:25
51:22 66:5,7,14	67:18	71:20,21	cheyenne 3:2
71:1,20 72:1	body 9:3 38:7,16	cases 26:4 69:13	chill 54:25
background 19:21	39:15,17 43:14,18	cashier 2:4,9	choctaw 38:22
20:9,14 21:22 24:5	44:15,19,19,22,23	casino 49:2	choose 17:9
26:14 30:13,23 31:3	44.13,19,19,22,23	casinos 34:2	christinia 3:3
36:18 50:9 64:23	46:8 47:6 50:7	certain 9:22 23:10	circumstances 8:25
bailiwick 6:1	51:25 52:10 58:11	26:2,4 28:14 29:8	11:14 49:10 55:10
bands 2:7	bond 60:25 61:3	39:16 54:20,21,22	56:6 70:4
		58:10,13	J0.0 /0. 4
	63:16		

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

[cite - council] Page 3

aida (0.22	20.12.24.6.26.10	somewleted 10.24	constructed 50.22
cite 68:23	29:13 34:6 36:19	completed 10:24 28:23	constructed 58:23
citizen 27:4	45:20 55:17 56:24	20.20	consultation 1:7
citizens 26:3 27:4	63:3 64:24 71:1,20	completely 66:6	61:13
city 58:9,12	72:1	complex 56:14	consultations 4:25
civil 12:1 14:2 16:8	comes 63:9 71:24	60:14 63:19	5:10 32:14
30:4	coming 10:19 51:18	compliance 8:11,14	contact 37:7
claiming 28:24	57:2 63:1 65:3	8:14,17 9:6,11,14	contained 53:24
clarifies 14:14 31:15	70:12 71:15	9:21,24 10:15,23	content 15:18 16:3
50:22 61:17	comment 12:9 21:3	11:6,7,10 29:21	25:18
clarify 36:8 39:22	30:10 33:7,12 51:13	43:20 44:12	contingent 60:1
clarifying 17:19	65:17	compliant 52:25	continue 51:14
class 31:19,19 33:20	commenters 21:12	comply 10:3 46:17	70:14
35:6 38:1,6 39:22	comments 6:16 7:2	concepts 11:20	continues 16:18
39:23 40:1,1,5,6,8	7:4,5 11:19 12:5	concern 9:10,12,18	30:2 55:16
40:11,12,16,18,18	14:10 18:22 33:10	10:15 11:5 28:6	continuing 49:8
40:21,21 41:1,2,7,9	38:3,4 46:2 51:15	29:20	contract 4:18 7:15
41:10,21,22,24 42:3	53:19 54:5,24 60:17	concerns 18:22	8:6 12:21 13:23
42:5,6 43:19 44:20	66:3,9	concluded 28:20	14:5 15:22 16:10,11
45:5,12 50:1,5,12	commission 3:4,14	conclusion 28:17	20:17 30:16 59:6,7
51:2,22,22 52:1,2,6	7:22 8:1,12 12:12	condition 9:13	59:11 60:5
52:7 64:5 66:6,7,8	12:15,17 13:25 15:2	conduct 50:4	contractor 59:18
66:10,11,14,17 68:6	16:22 17:14 26:11	conducted 43:20	contracts 13:19
68:7,21 69:3,4,8,14	26:17 27:9 38:1	46:9 50:5	30:18 31:24 32:11
70:3	47:6,19 49:9,17	conducting 43:19	54:14,18 57:18,18
clean 10:13 62:15	51:1,4,24 52:15,18	conducts 45:16	59:5,23 60:18
clear 4:19 5:19 13:8	52:23 53:3,13,21	confidence 64:12	control 68:9,13,22
18:15 19:10,11	71:16	confirms 9:15	68:22 69:5,9,12
54:10,18 57:8 61:10	commissioner 2:19	confusion 5:20	controller 2:14
clearer 13:4 65:13	3:14 8:3 35:22	13:11	controls 11:23 42:19
65:13	46:25	congress 57:25	43:2 44:7 49:15
clearing 18:9	commissioners	59:15	68:24 69:14,15,20
clerk 3:11	11:18 13:2 18:4,5	connectivity 32:4	conversation 65:4
closed 30:8 51:13	19:19 65:6	consider 32:9,10	cook 2:6 49:1
closes 30:11	commissions 52:4	53:14 70:20	cooperating 62:19
closing 29:23 71:5	communications	considerable 20:4	62:20
closure 14:3	14:21	consideration 64:16	copies 37:18
closures 13:23 16:9	community 34:2,14	considered 41:19	copy 37:18,20 42:23
cochran 47:3	34:19	considering 6:12	correct 11:9 28:8
coffee 4:7	compact 26:1 27:8	consistency 16:5	73:5
collateral 32:8,10,20	31:6,10 69:2	consistent 13:5	corrective 9:16
54:14	compacts 68:23	18:15 24:10,22	10:24
colorado 68:10	69:15	55:12 56:7	cost 4:24 5:6 52:9
columbus 35:3	complete 22:20	constitutes 15:19	council 2:22 65:24
come 8:13 9:23	48:10	constrain 11:2	66:13,19
10:22 11:6 24:4			ĺ

_	T = -		
counsel 18:20,20	debt 61:1	determine 27:7	37:12,13,23 41:20
53:11 59:11	december 30:11	52:12 58:17	42:7 48:8 51:10,17
counsel's 18:2 61:16	33:8 73:15	determined 26:18	55:16
62:6	decide 5:25 17:11,17	68:5	due 27:16 60:25
country 4:11 7:17	47:21 52:22	develop 25:14	duplicative 31:13
24:18,22 68:10 70:8	decided 32:19	developer 59:19	38:11 39:20
couple 36:8 57:16	decision 14:4 15:19	development 4:13	duty 49:8
course 16:18	16:9,19,20 17:10	developmental	e
court 55:8 61:20	23:18 47:17,18	59:23	e 2:2,2 8:15 73:2
68:19	68:11	difference 57:9	early 29:18 31:24
courts 55:3 62:9	decisions 15:17,17	different 6:25 12:22	easier 37:21
cover 33:14 42:5,5	16:2	13:20 19:6 23:20,20	economic 4:12
covered 63:25	decrease 38:8	24:14 25:4 37:14	economically 43:9
covering 1:9	deeny 2:9	42:2 68:7,9 69:17	effective 15:18 16:3
create 12:15 16:5	define 53:24 54:20	70:7 71:10,15	43:6 52:9
creative 60:14 70:13	60:12,22	differently 25:5	effectiveness 44:16
criminal 26:13	defined 54:6	difficult 56:11	effort 9:6 10:3 18:14
43:10	defines 53:14	diligence 27:16	19:10 20:7 24:10
criteria 39:17 42:12	defining 54:17	directly 37:8	31:7
43:3 44:6 46:14	definition 32:15,21	director 2:7,17 3:4	either 10:21 12:6
47:12,15 48:1 49:18	32:22 54:10	3:16	17:8 64:23
crow 2:11	definitions 14:18	disagree 34:7	elements 58:16
cumbersome 48:15	28:12	disapproval 15:12	59:19
current 42:17 48:17	deletions 37:19,20	15:13,23	emphasis 44:25
49:7 50:14,15	deliberative 70:19	disapprovals 13:16	emphasize 62:11
currently 7:16	delineate 41:1	13:18 15:9	employed 73:10
12:22 51:10 68:17	demonstrate 45:24	discretion 11:3	employee 21:21
69:5	65:10	discussed 32:15	22:14 23:12,25
customers 44:12	demonstrates 46:8	discussing 66:10	35:12 49:1
d	denied 27:13	discussion 6:15,18	employee's 23:16
danielle 2:16	deny 47:18	21:14 30:19,22	24:4
date 15:18 16:3	depending 8:24 9:4	32:20 33:17 37:5,23	employees 23:9,22
73:14	11:13 23:15 51:11	39:9	24:6 28:10,14 35:14
davs 35:2	depends 70:5	discussions 66:1	36:10 44:1,11 45:8
day 63:25 67:21	description 42:16	dishonest 43:11	48:12,18,19 49:5
days 21:23 22:17,18	42:17,20,23	dispute 44:10	employment 42:11
22:22 23:6 31:25	desire 10:5 33:23	distance 72:4	encourage 18:18
46:21 67:22	62:13	documents 62:21	60:9 63:23
deal 62:14	despair 10:17	63:6	encouraging 61:22
dealing 66:7	detail 35:19	doing 5:10 12:25	endeavors 62:2
dealings 41:9	details 19:5 56:6	14:15 29:14 31:12	enforce 68:13,20
deals 28:17 56:13	determination	48:20 64:13 67:1	enforceable 7:8
dealt 27:23	27:10 46:21 47:7	draft 9:8 11:16	enforcement 7:21
ucait 21.23	55:1	21:14,17 30:19,22	8:10,19,21 9:9

[enforcement - go] Page 5

10.25 11.1 20 20.10	fact 57:3	finish 37:6	fundraiser 34:25
10:25 11:1,20 29:19 44:2 45:15 57:22		first 8:16 9:9 10:3	funds 45:19
	factors 44:6 55:11		
69:3 enter 60:8	facts 6:15	31:17 60:24 65:2	furnished 30:25
	failure 15:14,24	fiscally 43:9	further 10:14 44:4
entities 20:15 30:14	16:13	fit 65:1	45:3 73:10
entity 31:1 58:9	fair 43:8	five 1:9	future 29:4 62:8
environment 46:10	fairly 65:20 67:5	flexible 7:9	70:7
especially 10:10	fall 13:13 29:12	focus 39:10	g
18:11 19:18 56:11	familiar 8:3	folks 19:4,4 64:11	game 45:12 54:9
63:16,18 71:8	far 12:10 14:10 36:4	follow 18:5 35:23	69:1
establishes 45:8,12	55:4	followed 49:22	games 41:2
everybody 4:5 19:1	fcc 12:2	following 13:10 23:3	gaming 2:17 3:4,14
63:23 65:25 71:19	federal 12:1 30:4	24:19 36:25 50:1	9:3 13:16 15:12
everybody's 37:10	37:16 43:20	foregoing 73:5	21:19 29:1 35:22
evident 46:12	federally 31:2,9	forgot 4:2	39:11,11 42:18 43:1
ex 14:21	fee 13:24 14:6	form 25:3,19	43:13 44:1,9,11,14
exactly 28:1,8	feel 27:11 66:18	formal 4:15 6:21	44:21,21,22 45:1,3
examination 50:4	fees 13:23 14:5 38:9	28:18	45:5,6,7,10,11,25
example 4:25 5:7	66:16 70:2	formalize 7:18 20:7	46:5,7 50:2,7,12
59:21	felony 26:5	21:4	51:3,7,22 52:4,13
examples 57:16,23	female 17:5 53:9	formalizing 21:12	52:14 53:2 58:2,4
excellent 61:7 65:18	57:19 59:3	21:17	58:12,20
66:21 67:7	fence 53:20	formally 4:9	gather 24:15
excited 19:1	figure 35:10 36:24	format 24:14	gathering 46:23
exciting 19:13	59:6	forms 37:14	general 2:24 13:14
executive 2:7 3:4,9	file 15:14,25 16:13	formulating 6:5	14:17 18:2 41:13
3:16	filing 15:3,13,24	forth 59:16	53:11 57:5 59:11
exercise 40:25 65:7	16:13 17:21	fortunately 64:22	61:16 62:5
exist 70:8	final 12:3 15:20	forty 54:2	generally 10:12
expecting 42:1	16:4,20 17:23	forward 5:9 7:19	15:25 43:10 56:18
expedited 17:12	finalizing 24:9	51:17 60:20 70:6,21	60:21 62:13
experience 62:17	finance 3:11 62:1	four 1:9 62:24 63:14	getting 24:24 27:20
experienced 62:18	financial 20:15	67:21	39:13,14 47:21
experiences 60:17	30:14 61:18 62:4,21	fourth 36:22	48:24
extreme 36:20	financially 45:22	framework 69:25	give 57:15 59:13
eyes 41:15	46:1,4	free 12:5 43:10	62:5 68:2
f	financier 58:24	fresh 4:6	given 4:11 7:14 69:2
f 73:2	financing 10:11	front 8:9	glad 63:22 68:3
facilities 5:5 31:19	55:1 56:13 57:18	frontend 29:18	go 4:1 5:4 6:3 7:2
31:20 40:2,5 41:22	59:22 61:10	31:17	8:8,18 11:4 15:14
facility 22:6 34:10	finding 13:3	full 47:5,18	17:3 19:23 23:24
34:14 40:4,8,24	findings 23:7 47:5	functions 48:1	24:1 29:20 40:20
	Person 12,00 14.0 16.0		
42:24,25 46:13	fine 13:22 14:2 16:8	funded 42:16	41:9 45:21 53:6
, ,	fine 13:22 14:2 16:8 16:23	funding 42:15 43:13 49:14 54:12	41:9 45:21 53:6 54:15 57:20 63:8,15

[go - instrument] Page 6

63:17 71:23	happening 9:19	i	indicates 9:13
goal 8:10	37:9	idea 29:12	indiscernible 4:3
goes 18:16 62:14	happens 20:22,25		7:3,24 8:16 16:11
going 6:7,8,10 9:4	54:13	igra 4:12,15 6:10	17:6,18,23 18:6
10:1,6 14:12 15:7	happy 60:16 63:20	28:25 51:6 52:16	19:14 20:9 21:18
19:19 24:3 25:15	71:22	53:24	22:10 23:5 26:24
26:12 31:8 33:3	hassle 34:20	ii 31:19 33:20 35:6	27:5 30:2 32:2,23
36:4 40:10 41:8	healthy 46:10	38:1,7 39:22 40:1,1	35:6,22 36:13,24
42:7 45:23 52:2	hear 12:25 46:2	40:5,6,8,12,16,18,18	38:12 39:7,9 40:12
58:23 68:6 70:18	51:14 56:3 57:7	40:21,21 41:1,7,9	41:5,7 42:21 44:13
71:1,17	60:16 63:22 68:4	41:21,22 42:6 43:19	44:14 49:18 50:8
good 2:11 27:19	heard 9:25 14:9	44:20 45:5,12 50:2	51:25 53:2,8 54:8
64:9	19:4 29:6,10,17	50:5,12 51:2,22,22	54:13 55:20,21
goods 4:10,22 5:15	32:17 38:18 52:18	52:2,6 64:5	56:13 58:19 59:2
7:16	54:23 56:7 65:2	iii 31:19 39:23 40:11	63:8,21 64:4 67:3
governance 4:13	hearing 16:16,18	41:2,11,25 42:3,5	67:11,19 70:15
governor 41:10,25	17:13,16 18:7,24	52:1,7 66:6,7,8,10	71:10
42:1	23:1,2,3,3,14,25	66:11,15,17 68:6,7	individual 21:24
grand 38:23 40:3,24	24:3 26:9,17,20	68:21 69:3,4,8,14	27:7 57:12
granting 64:7	27:6,14 47:15	70:3	individually 55:9
great 65:25	hearings 45:16	illustrate 44:5 46:16	individuals 26:10,12
gross 50:12	held 16:17 27:6,14	immediate 8:24	industry 30:5
ground 67:21	help 11:6 36:23	11:13	inform 49:17
group 7:20 19:16,23	63:20	important 17:4	informal 12:3
19:24 33:15,23	helpful 24:23	18:11	information 22:19
groups 1:9	helping 8:13 25:7	impose 5:18,21	23:15,16 24:12,15
guess 26:15	helps 29:24 58:21	improve 18:23	24:20 25:10,12,16
guidance 56:22	hento 2:14	51:17	25:20 30:24 36:1
57:10,11 68:3	hey 54:19 55:18	incident 9:13	37:7,8 38:15 39:20
guidelines 56:19	high 39:2	include 47:13	44:23 46:23 47:14
guideposts 57:5	hired 48:12	included 16:19	47:21 48:2 49:5
guys 34:5	history 26:13 42:9	includes 21:18	initial 46:21
h	43:17	22:11	initially 27:13 32:6
	hold 26:9 66:1	including 31:18	ink 37:15
h 12:16,24	home 72:5	44:14	innovative 64:7,12
half 19:8	honest 43:6,8	incorporate 52:2	70:13
hallmark 39:8	hope 12:6	67:2	inquiry 21:1 32:7,12
hammer 29:11	hopefully 14:15	incremental 10:6	37:24 53:13
hand 54:23 69:7	hornet's 52:19	independent 48:9	inspection 50:11
71:14	horses 2:16	indian 2:17 4:11	instances 11:11
hand's 64:20	hours 67:21	5:14,15 6:2,4,11	31:13 54:3 63:21
happen 28:1 34:22	hybrid 31:19 40:2,3	7:17 68:11 70:8	institutional 31:1
52:20	40:24 41:6	71:12	institutions 61:25
happened 29:8 55:4		indicate 55:22	instrument 61:18
			62:8

[instruments - martin] Page 7

		1 10 20 10 0	
instruments 63:18	j	legal 18:20 19:9	66:5
integral 18:1	janelle 3:11	53:10 54:16 59:13	lost 32:3
interest 20:16 30:15	job 1:24 27:15	62:5	lot 14:10 18:7,16
33:22 53:15,17 54:2	john 3:18 27:21	legislative 2:17	24:24 28:5 38:14,24
54:6,11,18 55:2,19	65:19	lending 61:24	63:9 64:11
55:24 57:2,24 58:2	jon 2:14	letter 9:10,12 10:14	love 19:3
58:8,19,25 59:8	journey 72:5	28:22 29:20	lunch 33:15,22 53:6
interests 53:7	judge 17:17	letters 10:18 11:5	64:1 70:25 71:1,2
internal 6:8,22,23	july 36:22	licensable 26:18	71:21 72:2,7
7:10 42:19 43:2	jump 13:5	license 21:19,20	m
44:7 68:9,13	jurisdiction 5:23	22:12,14,17,23 23:2	machines 40:16
internally 25:13	k	23:9,14 26:12,23,25	41:25
interpret 48:23		35:3 42:24 46:12	main 41:13
55:23 58:6	keep 10:12 34:5	licensed 26:7,8,22	maintain 21:7 39:2
intervene 14:25	keeping 42:20	34:5 36:18 48:12	maintained 43:15
investigate 51:5	kept 43:15	licensee 22:25	45:21
investigating 45:6	key 21:21 22:14	licenses 24:2	maintains 43:6 45:4
investigation 28:18	24:5 28:9 35:11	licensing 19:20 20:8	45:13
28:20,22 29:7,13,23	36:10 48:12,18 49:5	20:10,21 43:25 45:7	maintenance 28:13
30:8,13,24 31:4	kind 27:2,14 64:19	46:13	48:25
43:25 44:1	knights 35:2	licensor 31:4	making 18:9
investigations 19:21	know 5:8 7:13 11:17	limbo 29:15	male 25:24 27:1,25
19:22 20:10,13,14	11:21 14:11 18:1	limit 11:2 50:16	1
21:8 28:16 42:21	19:3 20:3,19 23:22	54:24	32:1 33:24 34:11,13
45:14 50:9	24:8 25:9 28:11	limited 14:23 15:15	34:17 35:7,13,21
investigative 21:9	29:16 30:7 36:9	16:1,14 49:23,25	36:3,7 40:9 41:4
22:1	41:8 52:25 55:16	50:24	60:23 65:16
investor 31:2	60:7 62:2,24 66:9	line 49:1	manageable 56:4
investors 54:22	67:14 70:4,9	list 42:16,19	management 8:6
56:20	knows 9:1	lists 16:15	12:21 13:19,23 14:4
involved 56:21	l	little 2:7 7:7 25:5	15:21 16:11 20:16
irving 2:21	lacs 3:4	33:25 34:19 36:4,5	20:17 21:21 22:15
issue 9:7,22 11:3	lance 3:8	52:1 58:21 63:10	24:6 28:10 30:15,16
24:1,2 28:2 47:16	language 27:8 50:22	long 20:18 30:17	30:18 31:18,23
55:17	large 34:18 58:13	52:16,24 67:12,18	32:11 41:17 48:11
issued 21:19 22:12	larger 34:1	look 4:14 18:19,20	56:12 57:17 59:7,17
22:23 42:24 47:8		43:12 48:8 56:18,25	61:5,18 62:7,15
53:10 58:7	late 13:23,24 14:5,6 15:13 17:21	57:21 59:12,21,22	manager 2:22,24
issues 59:14	law 6:21 17:17	65:17 66:23	3:6 41:13
issuing 42:9		looked 25:4,5 30:3	manner 46:9
it'll 41:12 54:20,21	25:12	58:17 59:25	margins 37:19
54:22	laws 43:21 52:14	looking 6:6 9:1	marion 3:16
items 32:20 33:3	lawyers 14:9	10:10,11 17:20	mark 10:9
200110 32.20 33.3	left 29:15 33:13	41:20 43:16 45:9	martin 41:9
		49:3 56:21 65:11	
		77.3 30.21 03.11	

[master - opinions] Page 8

master 2:4	month 36:14,15	23:6,10,18 26:10,20	objectionable 28:4
material 22:20	months 32:13,18	28:21,25 46:19	obligated 66:18
23:15,18 46:23	motion 15:1	47:20 48:1 50:1,16	obtained 38:8
49:10 60:2	motions 13:14 14:22	50:21,23 51:6,8	obtains 49:21
materials 22:1,2	14:23,24 15:3,15,15	58:5 59:12 61:4	
· ·			obviously 26:5
matter 9:16 17:10	15:25 16:1,13,14	65:18 66:4,14,21	occurred 29:2
53:18 55:20	17:22	67:10,24 68:12,23	october 30:10 33:7
matters 9:2	mounds 63:16	69:3,11	offenses 26:2,5
mean 46:3,4 52:5	move 7:19 19:15,23	nigc's 49:23 61:16	office 18:2 21:11
58:5 67:22	25:15 29:24 60:20	68:21 69:4	46:20,22 47:1,4,16
means 60:22 66:15	70:6	ninety 55:5	59:11 61:17 62:6
mechanisms 69:24	moving 5:9 51:21	nj369178 1:24	65:3
meet 39:18 47:9,11	70:20	non 9:10,14,21	officer 13:7,8
47:14,25	muscle 65:9	10:15 11:6 27:4	offices 10:20
meeting 1:7 5:11	n	29:21	official 13:21 15:1
39:16 66:13 71:16	n 2:2 73:2	northern 3:2	16:8 21:22 22:15
members 65:24	name 56:11,15,17	notice 9:11,15 10:15	officials 15:4 24:7
66:13,20	narrowly 56:17	21:1,15 22:13,13,22	28:11 48:11
menominee 38:23	nation 2:5,10 3:7,12	22:25 28:3,16 29:21	offline 35:18
mentioned 25:3	57:15 71:6	32:5,7,12 33:1,12	oglala 2:12,22 3:9
45:17 51:21	national 2:17 31:1	37:16,24 53:12	3:19
met 43:3 44:6 48:4	necessarily 8:22	notices 11:5 12:7	okay 4:1 19:15,22
52:17	29:3 49:2 54:16	13:21 14:1,5 21:7	29:22 33:23 34:12
michigan 26:1	55:12	notification 22:21	35:24 36:6 41:4
microphone 25:22		28:24	53:12
25:23	necessary 9:16 28:13	notifies 21:22 23:4	once 23:25 36:14
mike 2:24		notify 22:16 23:17	47:21 48:2
mille 3:4	need 5:11,12 8:18	26:10 47:9	ones 67:20
miller 2:19	8:23 11:12 13:7	nov 8:7 9:7 10:2,7,8	oops 60:10
minimal 35:8 44:7	14:13 20:21 22:7	11:3 12:21 58:7	open 18:24
68:13,22 69:4,9,11	25:22,23 26:23 35:1	november 1:13 21:2	_
minimum 68:8	42:13,15 48:4 58:1	novs 16:8,23 55:21	41:13
mistaken 43:5	69:11	nrra 1:7	operating 25:14
mix 66:6,11,15,22	needs 9:22	number 6:23 7:4,5	operation 39:11
modified 49:4	negotiated 69:8	11:17,21 20:4 41:22	41:12 42:18 43:10
modify 14:4 16:10	neither 10:14	54:16 55:6 65:4	43:17 44:11,24 45:5
32:24	nest 52:19	69:7 70:7	46:1 66:8
modifying 18:8	net 32:16,21,22		operations 9:4
money 34:24 37:1	never 26:6 29:9	0	38:17 40:6 42:10
monitor's 44:15	new 9:8 11:24 12:15	o 73:2	43:8 46:8 64:14,24
monitoring 19:20	42:1 52:3 58:22	object 23:7	69:21 70:16
20:13 28:15 43:25	61:1 65:24 71:3,22	objection 22:24	opinion 34:4 59:14
44:20 45:7 50:1	71:24	23:12,19,23 26:20	61:16 62:5
monitors 44:12	nigc 4:9,9 21:22	27:21,23	opinions 53:10
110111t015 TT.12	22:16,18,21,24 23:4		54:16 55:5,6
			JT.10 JJ.J,U

973-410-4040

opportunities 68:1	partners 10:11	policy 4:11 6:9 7:10	priority 21:3
opportunities 08.1	partnership 68:1	21:14	private 36:15
61:11 65:25	parts 12:22,23	portion 41:21 71:9	prize 34:23
opposed 64:5	party 17:9 28:22	portions 63:6	probably 8:3 31:24
options 70:20	30:7 73:11	position 39:15 56:9	51:24 55:5 69:24
order 9:23	pass 66:18	60:19	problem 11:9 28:7
orders 14:2	paths 6:6	positions 70:8	63:22 68:16
ordinance 8:7 12:20	pending 12:19	positive 18:8	problems 59:1 62:9
14:24 15:12 29:2	people 28:13 36:21	possible 5:3 40:23	procedures 22:11
46:7 51:7 52:24	64:20	41:3	25:14
53:2 58:12 69:1	percent 36:19,25	possibly 40:11 51:21	proceed 19:12 69:19
ordinances 11:23	54:3	56:17 66:5	proceeding 12:11
13:16 15:10 52:14	percentage 53:25	post 6:14	19:14
69:16	58:13,14	posted 66:24	proceedings 12:16
organizational	percentages 53:24	potential 29:19	13:12,15 14:14,23
42:11 49:13	53:25 62:25	potentially 27:20	73:7
outcome 11:4 29:10	period 10:22 30:10	pow 36:24	process 6:4 8:1,4,8
outlines 9:8	33:7 51:13	power 51:4	9:9 10:1,6 11:1 14:7
outlining 11:20	permanently 45:19	powers 49:23 50:23	14:8,16 15:8,20
outside 43:1	personally 52:20	51:2,8	16:19 17:3,12 19:9
outweigh 39:5	persons 20:15 30:14	pre 9:8 11:1,20	22:7 23:24 24:3,17
outweighed 38:7	petition 46:19 47:17	preclude 29:2	24:19,21 25:4 27:15
overly 48:23	47:22	prefer 5:4 7:9 10:1	27:19,24 28:18 31:9
overseeing 67:19	ph 18:1 36:22 38:23	51:12	33:11 38:2 39:5,6
owned 30:25	38:24 40:3 41:9	preference 7:6	40:20 44:11 47:20
p	phillip 2:11	prerogative 52:12	53:16 61:21 65:12
p 2:2,2	philosophies 8:12	52:22	processes 12:2 16:6
p.m. 72:7	phone 4:6 65:19	presents 68:15	17:19 18:10 24:11
packet 19:9 30:12	pilot 20:1,2,6,7 21:4	preserved 11:16	25:1
30:20 32:5	21:6,17	preserves 29:25	procure 4:10,22
paint 71:11	place 4:9,20 6:2	president 2:12 3:19	procuring 5:14
panel 61:13	7:11 10:6 12:3	41:5,24 51:18,20	program 20:1,2,7
paper 5:12 17:14	20:23 21:5 25:2	64:2,3,18 70:23	21:5,6,13,17
part 12:12,13,13,14	29:7 68:24 69:21	71:4,7	promote 4:12
13:6,6,9,17 17:4	70:15	presiding 13:7,8,21	promulgate 53:21
19:2 21:18 24:18	places 5:1	15:3 16:7	68:12,19
28:15 31:18 37:24	plain 18:9	previously 59:20	proof 16:15
parte 14:21	plan 24:16	primarily 27:3	property 61:1
participating 19:25	please 57:15 63:13 plus 55:11	32:18 40:11,13	proposed 11:16 12:7 12:10 14:2 18:23
participation 14:24	_	primary 21:21 22:15 24:6 28:10	21:16 28:16 29:25
15:16 16:1,14	pmos 48:11,18 49:5 point 21:15 24:9	48:11 54:7,8	33:1 66:23
particular 17:4	55:14	principle 8:15	proposes 45:12
18:17 31:16 56:5	policies 6:22,24	principle 8.13	proposes 43.12 proposing 14:11
	policies 0.22,24	22:23 59:20 65:3,5	18:18

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

proprietary 33:21		regulate 34:22	repeal 12:12
53:7,15,17 54:1,5	r	39:16 40:4 64:10	report 47:5,13 48:20
	r 2:2 73:2		48:22 49:9
54:11 55:2,19,24	radar 8:9	regulated 30:5 31:2	
57:2,24 58:2,8,19	ramped 55:7	31:10 39:7 40:7	reporting 38:12
58:25 59:8	reach 5:2	47:22 48:5 49:24	48:3,7
prosecution 42:22	read 37:21	50:4,8 65:8	reports 21:9
44:2 46:5	ready 29:12 55:16	regulating 36:5,17	represent 18:3
protects 46:9	really 7:12 17:25	40:13 52:5,6 64:5	reputation 43:7
proved 29:1	18:11 20:1,5,18	regulation 5:18 6:7	request 22:18 47:15
provide 10:21 19:6	31:15 39:5 56:10	6:20 7:1,7 21:13	53:16,23
33:11 53:15,22	64:8,19 66:10	28:25 33:16,18,18	requested 21:2
54:11 68:2	reason 29:5 61:8	33:20,20 37:1,11	requesting 42:9
provided 9:11	receive 48:2	38:2,21 39:3,4	require 6:3 17:13
providing 63:10	received 7:6 38:3,4	40:15 46:20,22 47:1	required 26:19 31:3
68:1	53:19 54:4	47:4,8,10,16,23	36:2 47:24
provisions 61:19	receiving 22:22	48:14,17 49:11,21	requirement 4:21
62:7,16	48:13	50:14,16,17,20	5:8 38:13 52:16
provost 2:21	recommended	53:14 58:6 60:21	62:12
public 46:10	10:23 59:10	62:12 65:1,12 70:3	requirements 38:10
published 21:2 30:9		regulations 1:10	42:8 46:15 47:12
33:6 68:17	reconsider 27:22	7:22 18:17 19:2	48:4
pull 58:10	reconsideration	21:4 26:21 33:5	requiring 61:23
pulling 66:5,14	15:5	35:12 43:1,21 44:13	reservation 34:15
purchase 7:16	record 10:9,12 15:4	49:7 51:6 53:1,22	34:16,18,19
purpose 38:13 50:19	42:20	64:6,15 68:16	reservations 64:25
pursuant 31:5	recorded 73:7	regulators 11:18	resolution 15:10
put 4:20 6:13 7:11	records 45:5 50:11	42:17	44:10
7:22 12:23 28:2	red 37:15,15	regulatory 9:3 12:1	resolutions 13:17
51:17 66:2 67:8	redlightning 2:24	30:4,4,7 38:7,16,21	resource 61:7 65:18
putting 41:10	reduce 30:23 39:19	39:12,15,17 43:13	66:21 67:7,10 68:4
	reduction 66:16	43:18 44:15,19,21	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
q	referred 41:6	· · ·	resources 67:13,23
qualifies 35:11	reg 38:25 40:19	44:22 45:1,4,10,11	respect 50:11
qualify 20:6 61:5	regard 8:20 16:21	45:19,25 46:7 50:7	respond 47:13
question 26:15 27:3	17:24 26:25 62:6	51:25 58:11 64:8	respondent 9:12
37:2 40:10 58:4	66:17	67:18 71:9	10:22 17:8
65:17 66:19 70:5	regardless 41:23	reinvent 31:7	response 32:16
questions 18:21	region 24:14,23,25	reinventing 22:3	responses 18:8
25:21 51:15 66:12	25:6,8	related 73:11	responsibilities
70:17 71:25	regional 10:19	relationship 42:2	20:17
quick 65:17	21:11	relevant 19:18	responsibility 30:16
quicker 7:10 63:10	regions 24:11,13,16	remarks 71:5	50:3 58:3
quickly 62:20,25	register 37:16	remove 13:10	result 60:3
quite 48:21 63:17	regular 65:21 67:6	renegotiation 60:25	results 21:7,23
65:14		reoffend 27:9,11	22:13,23 23:10
33.11			

[resume - states] Page 11

resume 48:10,25	satisfied 71:8	session 19:17	source 43:13
retain 51:9	saw 4:3 30:6 63:12	set 56:5 59:15	sources 49:14
retains 51:2,4	saying 56:25	seven 36:23 67:21	sovereignty 39:8
revenue 44:16 58:14	says 29:22 34:3	seventy 36:19	65:7,10
59:16	48:18 49:20 50:16	shift 24:25 39:10	space 5:11
revenues 32:16,22	58:1 68:19	should've 49:6	speak 61:12
32:23 41:2 43:7,24	scenarios 57:4	shows 71:3,22	speaker 17:5 25:24
44:10 50:12 54:9	scope 30:23	shy 72:1	27:1,25 32:1 33:24
review 15:16 16:2	second 31:20 37:20	sides 53:20 69:17	34:11,13,17 35:7,13
16:16 17:22 38:1	secretary 3:9	sign 73:13	35:21 36:3,7 40:9
39:3 46:19 53:17,22	section 7:25 30:21	significantly 6:25	41:4 53:9 57:19
57:13 61:4 63:9	33:13 37:15 44:5	similar 11:25 12:2	59:3 60:23 65:16
reviewing 33:5	45:1 46:18 48:21	16:23 30:6	specific 11:15 32:24
reviews 44:23	51:1	simpler 14:16	35:19 56:5
revisit 30:1	sections 13:20	sioux 2:5,10,12,15	specifically 35:18
revocation 14:19	see 11:22 12:18 13:2	2:20,22,25 3:7,9,12	45:24 49:20 56:16
right 4:8 8:9 22:25	13:19 16:4 25:17	3:14,19 57:15	60:22
26:2 30:20 33:17	31:23,25 34:1 37:18	site 41:14	specifies 52:24
35:21 46:24 47:1	43:14 44:8 53:4	sites 41:12	specify 48:17
48:16 51:18 56:23	60:4,4 62:16 64:9	situation 11:15	speech 61:14
river 68:11	66:21 67:4 68:4	26:16 35:20 36:1	st 36:13
road 15:7 18:10	71:14	six 32:13,18 62:24	stability 54:12
robert 2:4 3:13	seeing 52:1 60:3	63:14	stable 46:1,4
ron 40:3,24	62:9 66:16	sixty 21:23	staff 27:15 28:21
room 5:11 66:1	seeking 40:7 62:1	size 64:13,25	65:20,20,22
rooms 5:11	seeks 21:20	slate 33:4	standalone 40:6,8
rosebud 2:20	seen 28:7	small 35:8 41:14	standard 25:14
route 70:6	self 4:13 11:9 33:16	51:23	standardize 24:17
rule 21:16 28:17	33:18,20 37:11 38:2	smaller 41:15	25:11
29:25 33:2	38:7,20,21,25 39:3	sole 33:21 53:6,15	standards 15:16
rules 12:7 13:14	39:3,7 40:4,7,15,19	53:17 54:1,5,10	16:2,15 17:22 39:2
14:17,20 15:6 18:10	46:20,22 47:1,4,8	55:1,19,24 57:1,24	45:8 68:9,14,22
45:13 59:9	47:10,16,22,23 48:5	58:1,8,25 59:8	69:5,9,12
rulings 55:8	48:14 49:11,21,23	solely 50:5	start 23:22 36:16,17
run 58:25 67:18	50:3,8,19 52:5,5	solution 70:13	47:21
S	64:7,10 65:1,8,12	somebody 22:5	started 4:2 32:6
s 2:2	71:8	67:14 71:22	starting 21:24
safe 43:8 72:4	send 59:10	soon 12:8	starts 23:25
safeguard 70:15	sending 60:2	sort 15:6 17:25	state 26:1,11 27:15
safeguards 69:21	separate 52:3	29:15 35:14 43:16	31:4,5,10 42:2 50:15 66:20 69:10
safety 46:10	separated 12:22 serious 10:9	48:15 sorted 69:10	statement 10:17
sandcrane 3:1	serious 10:9 service 4:10 12:13	sorted 69:10 sound 43:9 73:7	statement 10:17 statements 57:12
santee 2:5,10 3:7,12	service 4:10 12:13 services 4:22 5:15	sound 43:9 73:7 sounds 27:19 35:9	statements 57:12 states 9:16 30:22
3:14 57:14	7:16 14:21 63:24	35:19	33:2 71:11
	7.10 14.21 05.24	33.17	33.2 /1.11

[stating - tribe] Page 12

stating 39:14	suggestions 51:16	talks 15:11,23 46:18	thoughtful 70:19
statute 6:9 38:22	suggests 39:1	59:5	thoughts 59:13
39:25 57:25 59:15	suitable 27:7	tamara 73:4,18	thousand 36:23
stay 8:14 11:10 56:2	supervisor 2:9	tease 18:25	three 3:17 38:20,24
stay 8.14 11.10 30.2 steele 3:18 41:5,24	supplement 15:4	technical 11:8 68:2	48:6 67:18
· ·			
51:19,20 64:2,3,19	supported 21:12	technically 38:20	throughs 37:21
70:24 71:4,7	supposed 29:14	technology 64:14	time 4:4 10:21 22:19
steffani 47:2	sure 6:15 19:10 22:4	teena 2:9	23:11 29:9 43:14
step 50:20 66:7	24:21 28:8 40:25	tell 65:19	61:11 62:23 63:11
steps 9:23 13:3	45:18 57:1,20 61:4	telling 36:21 42:14	63:15,17 64:2,4
14:13	62:14 67:8 69:20	71:16	67:12
stop 4:4 23:13	70:9,23	temporarily 23:8	times 8:23 28:5
straight 10:1,7 43:4	surprise 8:22	temporary 13:22	61:14
48:16 50:13	surprised 14:8	14:3 16:9 24:2	timing 15:17 16:2
street 34:6	suspend 23:1,13	term 58:15	title 30:17
stretch 4:6	26:23	terms 33:5 43:22	titles 20:18
strike 37:20	suspension 14:19	57:17 58:24 60:5	today 37:6 53:6
stringent 44:8 69:13	system 42:19,20	61:9 62:24 63:11	63:25 71:20
69:14	44:17,18 46:5	68:8 70:2	told 67:12
structure 49:14	systems 42:23 43:22	testimony 45:15	topic 65:4
52:13,15,23 53:3	44:9,20 45:13 49:15	tgra 3:1 42:12,15,16	track 10:5
structures 70:10	t	42:17 45:2	train 68:2
stuff 36:15		tgra's 42:11	training 11:8 65:23
stuttering 33:19	t 73:2,2 tab 57:21	thank 59:24 64:17	66:4
subchapter 12:16		64:18,21 65:15	transcription 73:6,8
12:24 18:17	table 66:2	67:15,16 70:22	transferred 50:24
submission 14:1	tail 29:22	71:17,18,19 72:5	transparent 18:15
15:2 17:2 22:20	take 4:5 6:6 8:23	thelma 3:6 57:14	65:13
38:10 39:19 42:8	11:12 13:4 14:13	thing 53:5	travel 72:3
46:14	18:19,20 32:19 33:3	things 8:17 25:25	travels 72:4
submissions 16:22	33:9 57:8 59:12	26:6,7 33:4 42:12	traverse 2:7
16:25 63:3	64:16 70:6	50:17 56:17 59:9,21	tribal 4:13,22 5:4,12
submit 20:24 21:7	taken 9:23 10:25	61:2 65:2 67:1	9:3 26:3 27:3,4
21:10 27:12 42:13	12:4,19 20:23 50:6	think 20:20 24:13	30:25 31:5 39:8,11
42:15 61:3 62:21	takes 19:8 25:20	32:22,25 35:1 36:4	42:25 43:21 45:3,19
63:13	45:14,15 63:16	37:12 40:23,23	50:6 51:7 64:24
submitted 20:23	talk 20:11,12 33:16	41:18,20 52:8 55:18	66:12,19,22
47:25 61:8,15 73:7	33:21 35:17 53:5	56:8 59:4 61:6 64:8	tribe 2:12,15,20,22
submitting 62:3	57:23	64:15 70:22	2:25 3:9,19 5:25 9:1
63:5	talked 25:7 32:8,11	thirty 22:17,18,22	9:6,11 11:9 17:8
subsections 13:13	32:13 59:20	23:6 54:2	18:12 20:3 21:10,20
substantial 58:15	talking 6:17 7:20,21	thomas 3:3,6 57:14	22:2,14,16 23:1,4
substantially 43:16	36:9,11 37:10 43:23	57:14 58:21 59:24	23:17 24:18 25:8
suggesting 5:21	43:23 55:15 57:17		26:2 28:19 29:11,24
suggesting 3.21		thought 4:14 6:1	
		59:17	30:25 31:5 36:20,25

[tribe - yellow] Page 13

39:13 42:24 43:4,5	37:12,13,18,22	violations 44:3 46:6	wheels 31:8
44:4 45:18,23 46:15	38:19,23 41:12 48:6	51:6	whereof 73:13
46:24 47:9,11,25	48:19 51:11 52:4	void 14:4 16:10	whichever 37:21
48:5,13 49:8,16,20	55:7 57:4,23	voluntary 8:11	whichever 37.21 whipple 3:11,13
49:24 50:3,7,10,20	1	10:23	wilson 3:8
	type 6:4 19:6		
50:25 51:3 52:12,22	types 59:22	volunteers 35:15	wish 72:4
53:4,16 54:21 55:9	u	W	witness 73:13
55:12,25 56:24 58:8	u.s.c. 4:16	waiting 52:20	wolf 3:16
58:12,18 60:2,11,19	ultimate 26:25	waive 26:4	words 36:8 64:19
61:2 62:1 64:15	umbrella 41:16	waiver 26:3 28:2	work 8:18 21:10,24
65:23,24 68:11	undergo 31:3	waivers 14:20	24:24,25
tribe's 10:9 53:23	undermine 38:13	want 4:19 5:19 7:18	worked 22:6 63:22
54:17 55:22 56:9	understand 10:8	17:9,15 24:21 32:24	worker 48:25
58:11,20	34:21 71:3	37:6 39:22 43:12	working 9:2 23:13
tribes 3:17 4:18 5:2	understanding 17:1	45:17 46:2 52:15,23	23:22 24:1,16 64:23
5:2,4,18,22 8:13,22	unidentified 17:5	56:1 57:9,11,11	69:24 70:11
9:25 10:5,10 11:6	25:24 27:1,25 32:1	61:10,24 62:11 64:1	works 68:8
11:21 13:1 14:12	33:24 34:11,13,17	64:6 66:20 69:19,22	worst 57:3
15:7 18:4 19:11	35:7,13,21 36:3,7	70:10,24 71:19	wow 36:24
20:5 21:6,25 23:8	40:9 41:4 53:9	wanted 4:4 13:2	wrapped 6:18
23:21,21 24:1 25:3	57:19 59:3 60:23	19:4 31:14 40:17	writing 12:6 14:25
29:6,17 31:11 32:17	65:16		written 13:25 15:1
38:19,19,20,21,24		65:8 67:8 71:5,9	16:22,24 17:2,14
39:1,21 48:6,19,19	united 71:11	wanting 64:9	y
51:14 52:17 54:19	unnecessarily 65:15	wants 52:13 61:15	
54:19 55:17 56:1,3	update 22:2,8	way 7:2,19 23:23	yankton 2:15,25
56:20,21 57:7,9,10	updated 49:16 67:5	51:12 67:25	yeah 7:24 40:17
58:1 60:9,24 61:12	upset 69:22 70:10	ways 68:3	64:3
61:22 63:5 64:9,24	use 6:10 51:24 67:10	we've 6:16 7:5 12:10	year 32:7,18 36:23
65:7 67:20 68:7,8	67:13	14:9 18:23 19:4,5	37:25 48:5,22
68:21,25 69:8,17,20	usually 21:11 60:3	20:19 26:16 27:16	years 55:7 65:5
69:25 70:11,14	utilize 63:24 67:25	30:8 32:17,19 33:24	68:18
tried 19:5	v	34:18 41:19 49:4	yellow 3:18
true 17:7 73:5	vendors 45:9	55:17 56:1,7 63:5	
truly 19:2	versions 37:13,22	63:25	
try 4:24 5:1 7:15	51:11	website 57:20,23	
13:10 34:20 47:14	vice 47:2	66:25	
56:8 58:17	view 51:12	week 67:22	
trying 10:12 16:5	views 6:19	weeks 62:24 63:14	
34:22 35:10 59:5	vince 2:6	weigh 8:2 69:18	
		weight 6:21	
turnaround 63:15	violate 54:1		
turnaround 63:15 twenty 67:21		went 30:21 60:24	
twenty 67:21	violating 57:1	66:22	
twenty 67:21 twice 36:14	violating 57:1 violation 9:14,20		
twenty 67:21	violating 57:1	66:22	